

ATTACHMENT A

Remarks

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101

Claims 11 – 20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. This rejection is respectfully traversed, but the claims have been amended to use language that has been approved of by the courts as satisfying the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Double Patenting

Claims 1 – 28 have been rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,396.

An appropriate terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102

Claims 1 – 28 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vertelney et al. (U.S. Patent 6,760,884). This rejection is respectfully traversed, however independent claims 1, 11 and 21 have been amended to more clearly define the claimed subject matter.

Amended claim 1 recites:

1. A method for producing a web page, said method comprising the steps of:
positioning at a desired location at least one tangible object representing at least one user interface element on a tangible background medium to create a desired web page appearance;
generating a scanned image of the desired web page appearance; and
executing a program of instructions using said information handling system, the program of instructions:
identifying the at least one tangible object in the scanned image;
creating at least one user interface element corresponding to the at least one tangible object identified in the scanned image; and
formatting an executable web page having the at least one user interface element positioned in correspondence with the positioning of the at least one

tangible object on the tangible background medium so that the executable web page has the desired web page appearance.

Vertelney discloses “[a]n authoring system and procedure for organizing photos (and other physical object types).” (Abstract). The photos are organized into a set so as to tell a story. In this regard, the patent states:

Using the authoring system, an author organizes a set of physical objects into a particular story through an interface. Each physical object is associated with a specific identifier, such as a barcode, and the author uses an input device to scan identifiers of selected physical objects that are to be included within the particular story Using the input device, the author adds her own commentary as part of building the story Each story may include reproductions of the physical objects that were included in the particular story. For example, digitized copies of several related photos are displayed sequentially.” (Abstract).

It is respectfully submitted that Vertelney does not teach a method for producing a web page including, *inter alia*, positioning a tangible object representing a user interface element on a tangible background medium to create a desired web page appearance; generating a scanned image of the desired web page appearance, and formatting an executable web page having an user interface element positioned in correspondence with the tangible object on the tangible background medium, so that the web page has the desired web page appearance, as recited in amended claim 1.

Claim 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 10 depend from claim 1 and are allowable for at least the reasons provided in support of the allowability of claim 1.

The subject matter of claims 4, 7 and 9 has been substantially incorporated into amended claim 1, and these claims have, therefore, been canceled.

Amended claim 11 now recites a computer readable medium including computer usable program code for performing a method for formatting an executable web page. Amended claim 11, as well as claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 18 and 20, which depend therefrom, are allowable for at least the reasons described above with respect to claim 1.

The subject matter for claims 14, 17 and 19 has been substantially incorporated into amended claim 11 and these claims canceled.

Amended claim 21 now recites:

21. An information handling system, comprising:

- a processor for executing a program of instructions on the information handling system;
- a scanner coupled to the processor; and
- a memory coupled to the processor for storing the program of instructions executable by said processor;

wherein the program of instructions causes the information handling system to:

- operate said scanner to generate a scanned image of a tangible background medium and at least one representation of at least one user interface element positioned at a desired location on the background medium;
- identify the at least one representation of at least one user interface element in the scanned image;
- prompt a user to enter data of a particular type corresponding to the at least one representation of at least one user interface element identified in the scanned image;
- receive data of said particular type from said user;
- create at least one user interface element using said data; and
- format an executable web page including the at least one user interface element positioned in correspondence with the positioning of the at least one representation of at least one user interface element on the tangible background medium, such that the executable web page has a desired appearance.

It is respectfully submitted that Vertelney does not teach prompting a user to enter data of a particular type corresponding to a representation of a user interface element identified in a scanned image, or creating a user interface element using data of the particular type received from a user. Further, as discussed above with respect to claim 1, Vertelney does not teach formatting an executable web page including the user interface element positioned in correspondence with a positioning of a representation of a user interface element on a tangible background medium, such that the executable web page has a desired appearance.

Claims 22, 23, and 26 – 28 depend from claim 21 and are allowable for at least the reasons provided in support of the allowability of claim 21.

The subject matter of claims 24 and 25 has been substantially incorporated into claim 21 and these claims canceled.

It is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance.

END REMARKS